



Inflation and moduli backreaction in string-effective supergravities

Clemens Wieck, IFT UAM-CSIC

Madrid, October 19, 2015

Based on work with W. Buchmuller, E. Dudas, L. Heurtier, A. Westphal, M.W. Winkler

What to expect

Motivation: High-scale inflation and heavy scalar fields

Introduction: Inflation and moduli stabilization in supergravity

Results: Backreaction of heavy moduli and stabilizer fields

Conclusion and outlook

1. High-scale inflation and extra dimensions

Hints in the universe



Cosmic inflation

- How can the CMB radiation be so isotropic?
- What is the origin of the primordial perturbations in the CMB?
- Why is the universe as flat as it is?
- And where are all those magnetic monopoles?

Cosmic inflation, quasi-exponential expansion of space
[Guth '81, Linde '82]

Cosmic inflation



- Expansion driven by vacuum energy of slowly rolling spin-0 field
- Quantum fluctuations source
 CMB inhomogeneities

- inflaton field value
- Observations constrain possible potential shapes, still no clear favorite has emerged

Constraints from observations

Observations constrain scalar spectral tilt n_s and tensor-to-scalar ratio r, among others...



Energy scales



Ultraviolet sensitivity

Slow-roll conditions

$$\epsilon = \frac{V'(\varphi)^2}{2V(\varphi)^2} \ll 1 \,, \quad \eta = \left| \frac{V''(\varphi)}{V(\varphi)} \right| \ll 1$$

Scalar potential very flat, susceptible to Planck-scale suppressed operators

Ultraviolet sensitivity

Slow-roll conditions

$$\epsilon = \frac{V'(\varphi)^2}{2V(\varphi)^2} \ll 1 \,, \quad \eta = \left| \frac{V''(\varphi)}{V(\varphi)} \right| \ll 1$$

Scalar potential very flat, susceptible to Planck-scale suppressed operators

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4 - \sum_k \mathcal{O}_k \frac{\varphi^{2k}}{M_{\mathsf{P}}^{2k}} \,.$$
dangerous operators

 \blacktriangleright specify UV complete theory, e.g. string theory, to compute the \mathcal{O}_k

Additional scalar fields



Vacuum expectation values of heavy fields can be inflaton-dependent

```
backreaction on inflaton potential
```

```
[cf. also Davis et al. '07-'08, Dong et
al. '10, Achucarro et al. '10-'15, ...]
```

2. Inflation and moduli stabilization in supergravity

Inflation in supergravity

Single-field inflation described by the action

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - V(\varphi) \right)$$

Scalar potential in supergravity

$$V = e^{K} \left(K^{I\bar{J}} D_{I} W \overline{D_{J} W} - 3|W|^{2} \right) + V_{D}$$

Generically too steep to accommodate inflation, "eta-problem"

Inflation in supergravity

$$V_F = e^K \left(K^{I\bar{J}} D_I W \overline{D_J W} - 3|W|^2 \right)$$

Possible solutions to the eta-problem include



A simple example

Consider chaotic inflation with a quadratic potential

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2$$

[Linde '83]

Naive approach in supergravity via shift symmetry,

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Inflaton} \ \varphi \propto \mbox{Im} \, \Phi \\ \mbox{does not appear in} \\ \mbox{Kahler potential} \end{array}$

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 \left(-\frac{3}{16}m^2\varphi^4\right)$$

 $W = \frac{1}{2}m\Phi^2, \qquad K = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \overline{\Phi})^2$

A simple example

Possible solution: Involve a stabilizer field,

$$\begin{split} W = mSf(\Phi)\,, \qquad K = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \overline{\Phi})^2 + |S|^2 \\ & \text{[Kawasaki et al. '00]} \\ & \text{[Kallosh et al. '10]} \end{split}$$

Inflaton potential, with $\langle S\rangle=0\,$ and $\,\langle {\rm Re}\,\Phi\rangle=0\,$ stabilized,

$$V = |mf(\varphi)|^2$$

Well-suited for single-field slow-roll inflation

Geometric moduli

- In Calabi-Yau compactifications, deformations of metric appear as scalar fields in 4d effective theory, geometric moduli
- Number of complex structure moduli and Kahler moduli fixed by topological quantities
- In type IIB, fix complex structure moduli (and axio-dilaton) with fluxes in internal manifold

```
[Giddings et al. '02]
```

• Kahler moduli appear with no-scale symmetry, massless at perturbative tree-level

[Witten '85]

Moduli stabilization?

Consider quantum corrections to Kahler and/or superpotential, e.g.

$$K = -3\log(T + \overline{T}), \qquad W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT}$$
[Kachru et al. '03]

Consider quantum corrections to Kahler and/or superpotential, e.g.

$$K = -3\log(T + \overline{T}), \qquad W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT}$$
[Kachru et al. '03]

Solve $D_T W = 0$ to obtain supersymmetric AdS vacuum



Consider quantum corrections to Kahler and/or superpotential, e.g.

$$K = -3\log(T + \overline{T}), \qquad W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT}$$
[Kachru et al. '03]

Solve $D_T W = 0$ to obtain supersymmetric AdS vacuum Uplift vacuum using D-terms of Fterms, e.g. Polonyi mechanism



Caveat: Modulus mass and potential barrier scale with gravitino mass

Require $m_{3/2} > H_{inf} \sim 10^{14} \,\text{GeV}$ to guarantee moduli stability during inflation

Caveat: Modulus mass and potential barrier scale with gravitino mass

Require
$$m_{3/2} > H_{inf} \sim 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$$
 to guarantee moduli stability during inflation

Alternative: "Supersymmetric moduli stabilization", use

$$W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT} + Be^{-bT}$$
 or $W = S(e^{-aT} - m)$
[Kallosh, Linde '04] [CW, Winkler '14]

 \longrightarrow Stabilize T in supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum

Backreaction of heavy moduli and stabilizer fields a) Heavy Kahler moduli

Backreaction of Kahler moduli

Ansatz: Supergravity Lagrangian with two gravitationally coupled sectors,

$$K = K_0(T,\overline{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \overline{\Phi})^2 K_1(T,\overline{T})$$
$$W = W_{\text{mod}}(T) + W_{\text{inf}}(\Phi_\alpha) \qquad \checkmark$$

Captures many string inflation models, but not Kahler moduli inflation

During inflation modulus minimum depends on inflaton, heavy field traces light field adiabatically and instantaneously

Backreaction of Kahler moduli

Ansatz: Supergravity Lagrangian with two gravitationally coupled sectors,

$$K = K_0(T,\overline{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \overline{\Phi})^2 K_1(T,\overline{T})$$
$$W = W_{\text{mod}}(T) + W_{\text{inf}}(\Phi_\alpha) \qquad \checkmark$$

Captures many string inflation models, but not Kahler moduli inflation

Special case: Impose $W_{mod}(T_0) = 0$, $D_T W_{mod}(T_0) = 0$

During inflation modulus minimum depends on inflaton, heavy field traces light field adiabatically and instantaneously

Backreaction of supersymmetric moduli

Expand supergravity Lagrangian around $T(\Phi_{\alpha}) = T_0 + \delta T(\Phi_{\alpha})$

Insert back into Lagrangian and obtain effective inflaton potential

Backreaction of supersymmetric moduli

Expand supergravity Lagrangian around $T(\Phi_{\alpha}) = T_0 + \delta T(\Phi_{\alpha})$

Insert back into Lagrangian and obtain effective inflaton potential

For special case of supersymmetric stabilization, all effects decouple for very large mass hierarchies

$$V(\Phi_{\alpha}) = V_{\inf}(\Phi_{\alpha}) \left[1 + \frac{H}{m_T} f_1(\Phi_{\alpha}) + \frac{H^2}{m_T^2} f_2(\Phi_{\alpha}) + \dots \right]$$

[Buchmüller, CW, Winkler '14]

Supersymmetry-breaking moduli

What happens if we allow for large F-terms of the modulus?

 $\xrightarrow{}$ Generic situation in KKLT, Large Volume Scenario, Kahler uplifting, ...

[Kachru et al. '03, Balasubramanian et al. '05, Conlon et al. '05, Balasubramanian & Berglund '04, Westphal '07]

Supersymmetry-breaking moduli

What happens if we allow for large F-terms of the modulus?

Generic situation in KKLT, Large Volume Scenario, Kahler uplifting, ...

[Kachru et al. '03, Balasubramanian et al. '05, Conlon et al. '05, Balasubramanian & Berglund '04, Westphal '07]

Expectation: Supersymmetry breaking induces non-decoupling effects, like soft terms

Example I: Chaotic inflation

Ansatz similar as before:

$$\begin{split} K &= -3\log(T+\overline{T}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi+\overline{\Phi})^2\,, \qquad W = W_{\rm mod}(T) + \frac{1}{2}m\Phi^2 \\ &+ {\rm uplift} \end{split}$$

Integrate out T at high scale to obtain effective inflaton potential

Choose:
$$W_{mod}(T) = W_0 + Ae^{-aT}$$

Example I: Chaotic inflation and KKLT

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 - \frac{3}{16}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4 - \frac{3}{4aT_0}\left(3\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{4}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4\right) + \dots$$

[Buchmüller, Dudas, Heurtier, Westphal, CW, Winkler '15]

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 - \frac{3}{16}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4 - \frac{3}{4aT_0}\left(3\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{4}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4\right) + \dots$$

supersymmetric mass term dangerous term still there, oh no...

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 - \frac{3}{16}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4 - \frac{3}{4aT_0}\left(3\tilde{m}m_{3/2}\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{4}\tilde{m}^2\varphi^4\right) + \dots$$

soft mass term, drives inflation if $m_{3/2}$ large enough

Result: Flattened chaotic inflation



Careful: Modulus is destabilized if φ too large or $m_{3/2}$ too small



Initial conditions and allowed parameter range tightly constrained. Typically require

$$m_{3/2}\gtrsim 10^{15}\,{\rm GeV}$$

Alternatives to KKLT

Large Volume Scenario: Compactification on "swiss-cheese" manifold with exponentially large volume

Flattened chaotic inflation, driven by soft term, parameter space even more constrained

Kahler Uplifting: Stabilize and uplift single volume modulus using perturbative correction in Kahler potential



Flattened chaotic inflation, driven by soft term or supersymmetric term, similar constraints

Example II: Starobinsky inflation

$$K = -3\log\left(T + \overline{T} - \frac{1}{3}|\Phi^2|\right),$$
$$W = M(\Phi^2 + b\Phi^3)$$

Fine-tune b, assume T stabilized at some $T_0 \gg 1$:

$$V_{\rm inf}(\varphi) \sim M^2 (1 - e^{-\varphi})^2$$

[Ellis et al. '13, '14, '15]



Example II: Starobinsky inflation

How can T be stabilized consistently?

$$K = -3\log\left(T + \overline{T} - \frac{1}{3}|\Phi^2|\right),$$
$$W = M(\Phi^2 + b\Phi^3) + W_{\text{mod}}(T)$$

Backreaction of T sources steep terms which make inflation impossible:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & V(\varphi) \sim V_{\inf}(\varphi) + Mm_{3/2} \sinh^2 \varphi - M^2 \sinh^4 \frac{\varphi}{2} + \dots \\ & \\ & \text{soft term, if } T & \text{from } -3|W|^2 \text{,} \\ & \\ & \text{breaks supersymmetry} & \text{generic} \end{array}$$

Example II: Starobinsky inflation



3. Backreaction of heavy moduli and stabilizer fields b) Is the stabilizer field stable?

Can the stabilizer field tolerate high-scale supersymmetry breaking?

Can the stabilizer field tolerate high-scale supersymmetry breaking?

No.

[Buchmuller et al. '14, Dudas, CW '15]

Remember generic setups with a stabilizer field,

$$W = mSf(\Phi) + W_{mod}(T) + \dots$$

Remember generic setups with a stabilizer field,

 $W = mSf(\Phi) + W_{\text{SUSY}}$

Supersymmetry breaking induces coupling between inflaton and stabilizer, sourcing a backreaction

 $V_{\rm soft} \sim m_{3/2} Sg(\varphi)$

Remember generic setups with a stabilizer field,

 $W = mSf(\Phi) + W_{SUSY}$

Supersymmetry breaking induces coupling between inflaton and stabilizer, sourcing a backreaction

 $V_{\rm soft} \sim m_{3/2} Sg(\varphi)$

$$V(\varphi) = |mf(\varphi)|^2 - m_{3/2}^2 \frac{g(\varphi)^2}{M_S^2} + \dots$$

In most models inflation impossible if $m_{3/2}\gtrsim 10^{13}\,{\rm GeV}$ KKLT, LVS, ...



Example: Chaotic inflation



4. Conclusion and outlook

Conclusions and outlook

- Stability of heavy scalars during inflation is non-trivial
- Backreaction of heavy moduli are generically important, can be studied in 4d effective supergravity

 \rightarrow Helpful in some models, destructive in others

- If moduli break supersymmetry, some effects grow stronger with increasing modulus mass
- Stabilizer fields do not fare well with high-scale supersymmetry
- Results apply to many but not all string inflation models in recent literature
 [e.g. Marchesano et al., Hebecker et al., Gring

[e.g. Marchesano et al., Hebecker et al., Grimm et al., Blumenhagen et al., Ibañez et al., Ellis et al., Nilles et al., Westphal et al.]